

Title Slide: Implementation and Spread of Multilevel Interventions in Practice: *Implications for the Cancer Care Continuum*

Elizabeth M. Yano, PhD, MSPH
VA Greater Los Angeles HSR&D Center of Excellence
UCLA School of Public Health
Center for the Study of Healthcare Provider Behavior
Veteran Health Administration
Research Development
Improving Veterans Lives
<http://www.research.va.gov>

Slide 2: Co-Authors

Lawrence W. Green, DrPH (UCSF)
Karen Glanz, PhD, MPH (U Penn)
John Z. Ayanian, MD, MPP (Harvard Med)
Brian S. Mittman, PhD (VA CIPRS)
Veronica Chollette, RN, MS (NCI)
Lisa V. Rubenstein, MD, MSPH (VA, UCLA, RAND)

Slide 3: Introduction

- Scientific evidence about what works in health care takes decades to move to routine care
 - Evidence is flawed → tested under highly controlled and homogenized circumstances
 - Applied to real world settings □ “voltage drop”
- Greater recognition of contextual influences underlying intervention success (or failure)
 - Motivated interventions that target context levels (patients, providers, practices, communities, policy)
- Few multilevel interventions (MLIs) conducted along cancer continuum: fewer implemented

Slide 4: Implementation and Spread

- How is implementation different?

- *Not* testing the original efficacious intervention
- Testing a set of strategies for deploying the MLI
- Adapted to different contexts (settings, levels)
- Focused on activities that facilitate uptake of MLI
- Requires engagement/involvement of wide range of stakeholders
 - Partners in implementation at each level
 - Researchers' capacity to influence determined by handoffs and support constructed through partners
 - Creating new ways of “doing business”

Slide 5: Approach

- Identified cancer and non-cancer MLI exemplars
- Span different levels and different stages of care continuum

Slide 6: Implementation and Spread of Multi-Level Interventions

[image]

Figure 1: Implementation and Spread of Multi-Level Interventions: Levels Covered by Cancer and Non-Cancer Exemplars

There are six levels in the image and 6 Exemplars. The list of levels are:

- National
- State
- Communities
- Practices
- Providers
- Patients

This section lists the exemplars and which level they are connected to:

- Choice
 - Practices
 - Providers
 - Patients
- H V M A Systems
 - Practices
 - Providers
 - Patients

- Pool Cool
 - State
 - Communities
 - Practices
- Tobacco Control Program
 - National
 - State
 - Communities
 - Practices
- TIDES Collaborative Care
 - National
 - State
 - Communities
 - Practices
 - Providers
- CRC Care Collaborative
 - National
 - State
 - Communities
 - Practices
 - Providers

This is additional information on exemplars:

- Choice: Communication Health Options through information and Cancer Education (Lewis, et al., 2010; Pignone, et al.), in press;
- Pool Cool Diffusion Trial, skin cancer prevention program (Glanz, et al., 2005);
- H V M A: Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates (Sequist, et al., 2009; Sequist, et al., 2010);
- Tobacco Control (CDC, 1999 and 2007);
- TIDES: Translating Interventions for Depression into Effective Care Solutions (depression collaborative care) (Rubenstein, et al., 2010; Smith, et al., 2008; Chaney, et al., in press);
- CRC: Colorectal Cancer Care Collaborative (C4) (Jackson, et al., 2010; Chao, et al., 2009)

[End image]

Slide 7: Implementation and Spread of MLIs

- Combinations, phases of MLI implementation
 - Attend to stakeholders at each level
 - Understand how levels may interact
 - Create inter-dependencies (e.g., local funding based on mapping to state-level program activities)

- Determine quality of evidence for interventions at each level (in lieu of evidence, blend experience)
- Use social marketing for interventional messaging
- Use PDSA pilots to test within/across levels
- Consider staged approaches, give adequate time
- Top-down and bottom-up implementation

Slide 8: Implementation and Spread of MLIs

- Partnerships within and across levels
 - Research-clinical partnerships essential
 - Reduced researcher control over implementation
 - Shared knowledge, trust, role specification
 - Team building before, during, after MLI implementation
 - Continual identification of stakeholders in network
 - Strong leadership support at each level, over time
 - Help elucidate other key players
 - Accountable
 - Role in coalition building
 - Partnerships with health IT staff (e.g., in EMR sites)

Slide 9: Implementation and Spread of MLIs

- Implementation facilitators
 - Organizational supports (e.g., direct grants, special funding allocations, protected time for QI)
 - May be centralized (e.g., state media campaign for tobacco control) or shared (e.g., EMR support)
- Implementation barriers
 - Implementation requiring interdisciplinary cooperation may be met with resistance
 - “Turf” (especially if competition for resources exists)
 - “Silos” (must create communication/coordination mechanisms)
 - Perceived value of MLI balanced with competing demands among busy members at each level

Slide 10: Implementation and Spread of MLIs

- Policy context, fiscal climate, performance incentives
 - Critical to understand contextual influences surrounding players at each implementation level

- Ex: Harvard Vanguard “perfect storm”
- Ex: Master Settlement Agreement with tobacco industry
- Determinants of spread
 - Timing/applicability of available evidence
 - Champions can support spread; tools important
 - Explication of handoffs
 - Quality monitoring programs

Slide 11: Conclusions

- Implementation and spread of MLIs into routine practice and policy feasible and effective
- Attention needed within *and* across levels
 - Partnerships, relationships, teams, coalitions
 - Facilitators and barriers (resources, perspectives)
 - Contextual factors
- Current mismatch between review and reality
- Sustainability a myth → evidence, stakeholders, context all continually changing
 - But investment will pay important dividends

Slide 12: Questions

- What does implementation mean...
 - in the context of your intervention(s)?
 - in the context of the best available evidence?
- What kinds of implementation strategies should be deployed and tested for each level?