With All These R's, Which One Is Right for Me?

Wen-ying Sylvia Chou, PhD, MPH, NCI Amy McQueen, PhD, Washington University

August 10, 2017

Common Grant Mechanisms

- R03 Small Research Grants
- R21 Exploratory/Developmental Grants
- R13 Conference Grants
- R15- "AREA" grants (teaching institutions w/ limited NIH funding)
- R01 Research Projects
- K-Awards—Training Awards (e.g. NCI K01, K07)
- R41/R42/R43/R44 SBIR/STTR
- Ps, Us, other bigger mechanisms

http://deainfo.nci.nih.gov/flash/awards.htm

R03s: Small Grant Awards

- < \$50K per year, 2-year max; non-renewable
- No preliminary data are required
- Currently reviewed by a special NCI study section
- Good mechanism to jump-start an NIH-funded career
- Typical scope of an R03:
 - Pilot or feasibility studies
 - Secondary analysis of existing data
 - Small, self-contained research projects
 - Development of research methodology or new technology

R21s: Exploratory or Development grants

- Up to \$275K/year for 2 years (<\$200k in one year);
 nonrenewable
- No preliminary data are required
- Submitted in response to specific Program Announcements; reviewed by various NIH study sections
- Typical scope of an R21:
 - Exploratory, novel studies that break new ground or extend previous discoveries toward new directions
 - "High-risk, high-reward" studies?

R13 Conference grants

- Support for conferences/scientific meetings for up to 5 years
- Requires advance permission from the funding IC (6 weeks before receipt date)
- Award amounts vary and limits are set by individual ICs, indirect costs are not allowed
 - A detailed categorical budget request is key

R15 AREA grants

- Small-scale research projects at educational institutions that have not been major recipients of NIH support (<\$6 million)
- Project period limited to 3 years, w/ direct cost limited to \$300K over entire project period
- Primary goals are to expose students to research & strengthen the institution's research environment

R01 Research Project Grants

- Traditional investigator-initiated grant providing support for discrete, specified research
 - If > \$500K/year, need to request NIH approval to submit
 - Up to 5 years (usually 3–5 years)
- Requires sufficient preliminary data
- Demonstrate significance to a cancer outcome(s)
- Teamwork: Get collaborators and consultants and have strong letters

Page limit summary

Section of application	Grant award	Page limits (if different from FOA)
Introduction to resubmission or		
revision applications		
	All grants	1
Specific Aims		
	All grants	1
Research Strategy		
	R03, R21	6
	R01	12
Biographical Sketch		
	All grants	4

Myth? R03/R21s are for high risk/ high reward studies

Amy's Stereotypes of R's

- R03 secondary data analysis, product development, test proof of principle ("high risk") or exploratory hypotheses
 - Modest effort or resources needed
- R21 pilot intervention, experiment, unique observation study, measurement development
 - Reviewers are not often supportive of exploratory aims
- R01 intervention trial, large experiment, large observation study

Myths about choosing a mechanism

(Don't prioritize the mechanism over the science)

- Myth: I'm junior so I need to start with an R03/R21
- Myth: My Chair only wants me to write R01s
- Myth: I can only demonstrate that I'm an independent investigator if I have an R01
- Myth: I'm proposing secondary data analyses so I have to apply for an RO3
- Exception think BIG and work backwards

Let the science dictate the mechanism

- Focus on your idea and where the results will lead
- Timing
 - You can propose a 1-year R03/R21 if that's sufficient
 - You can propose 3-year R01 if that's sufficient
- Resources/Cost
 - Teamwork and collaborators (Co-Is vs. Co-PIs, consultants, mentors), equipment, novel technologies
- Avoid being overly ambitious/don't over-promise
 - Everything will take longer than you think!
 - Need to map out all methods in excruciating detail
 - State explicitly how & why you developed the scope

Myth: I don't have "preliminary studies" so I can't write an R01

Define Preliminary Studies Broadly

- Anything to gain the confidence of reviewers that you are uniquely positioned to accomplish what you propose to do
 - Your related experience for various elements of proposal
 - Your collaborators' experience (so pick them strategically)
 - Your productivity and collaboration with co-investigators
 - Think of ways to work with new collaborators before submitting grants together
 - Conduct feasibility studies with institutional funds
 - Conduct related secondary data analyses
 - Definitely get info about target study population & prior recruitment success

How to include preliminary studies info in R03/R21s

- You still want reviewers to feel confident in your abilities
- Don't confuse "not required" with "not desired"
 - Highlight your contributions to the topic (pubs, abstracts)
 - Provide details of N's available for secondary data analyses
 - Pre-test (feasibility, acceptability, validity) intervention manipulation, materials, survey measures, etc.
 - Present previous success in recruitment of target study population
 - Present literature review and content domains to support proposal to develop a new measure of a latent construct

Know when to move forward/backward

- If you have done a couple pilot intervention studies (R21) don't propose another, move on to the larger trial (R01)
- If you have conditional aims or development work that is needed first, consider best package (for same science/gift)
 - R21 then R01
 - R01 with development in Y1
 - Non NIH funded preliminary work to better position you for NIH R01
- There are many funded intervention studies using R21s and descriptive studies using R01s
- Keep different pots on the stove at any one time...

Discussion time