National Cancer Institute TUS-CPS Data User Webinar

County Level Analyses on TUS-CPS Using Small Area Estimation Techniques

Welcome and thank you for joining. The webinar will begin shortly.



October 14, 2021

Welcome

QUESTIONS &	Participants are on mute.
TECHNICAL	Use the Chat Box to ask questions or request support.
SUPPORT	Questions will be addressed during designated Q&A periods.
CLOSED CAPTIONING SERVICE	Closed captioning is available. A link to the service will be provided in the Chat Box.
WEBINAR	The webinar is being recorded.
RECORDING &	Materials will be posted online in approximately three weeks.
MATERIALS	Email notification will be sent.

Speakers



Anne Hartman, MS, MA Biostatistician, Program Director National Cancer Institute Tobacco Control Research Branch hartmana@mail.nih.gov, 240-276-6704



Benmei Liu, PhD, MS Survey Statistician, Program Director National Cancer Institute Statistical Research and Applications Branch <u>liub2@mail.nih.gov</u>, (240) 276-6718

Small Area Estimation for the TUS-CPS: Specific Policy Example & General Considerations

Anne Hartman & Benmei Liu, DCCPS, NCI, NIH TUS-CPS 2021 Webinar series



October 14, 2021

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed are the presenters' and do not necessarily represent the views, official policy, or position of the U.S. Government, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services or any of its affiliated institutions or agencies.

OUTLINE

- TUS-CPS Features
- Why we need Small Area Estimates (SAE)?
- General Goals & Rationale for 2 Policy Items
- Overview of SAE Methods & How Applied to 2 Policy Items?
- Results for 2 Policy Items
- Dissemination & Website Info
- Future TUS SAE Plans (e.g., add e-cigarette & menthol use)
- Discussion: Statistical & Policy Implications

TUS-CPS Features

- TUS Key source of U.S. national, state, & <u>some sub-state</u> level data on tobacco use and tobacco control policy
- Supplement to the BLS' Current Population Survey (<u>CPS</u>) conducted by the Census Bureau
 - national complex probability <u>address-based</u> household sample
 - conducted monthly, uses panel design (sampling efficiency)
 - detailed stats on demography, labor force & unemployment
- NCI sponsored survey (FDA co-sponsored since 2014)
 - TUS fielded about every 2-4 years since 1992
 - 150,000+ self reports* of civilian pop. aged 18+(< 2007,15+)</p>
 - interviews: 35% in-person visit & 65% by phone; also in Spanish

Why Small Area Estimation (SAE)?

- TUS-CPS design allows reliable national and state level estimates
- Policy makers, cancer control planners and researchers often need tobacco related county level data to evaluate tobacco control programs, monitor progress, and conduct research
- TUS standard *direct estimation (design-based)* methods cannot provide reliable county level estimates due to small (or zero) sample
- Model-based methods that combine information from multiple related sources are needed to increase precision

Research Goals

- Produce *model-based, county level* estimates for key measures (2014-2015 TUS, age 18+):
 - 1. % population <u>currently</u> smoking
 - 2. % population that has ever smoked
 - 3. % population that has <u>quit for 24+ hrs</u>, among those who have smoked within PAST 12 mos.
 - 4. % working population reporting a "*smoke-free* (**SF**) *workplace* policy" (**NEVER** allowed in **ANY** work areas & **ANY** public/common spaces)
 - 5. % population reporting a "*smoke–free (SF) home* rule" (where NO ONE is allowed to smoke <u>anywhere</u> inside the home at ANY TIME)
- ✓ Through collaboration between NCI and the Census Bureau (Dompreh, I)
- This talk will focus on the two SF policy outcomes

Why Smoke-free Home & Workplace Policy?

- SHS Exposure causally linked to many chronic diseases in adults who do not smoke, as well as to serious illness in children
- SHS Major Settings: private workplaces, public places such as bars, restaurants and recreational settings, and homes
 - Salient sources: workplaces for adults in general & homes for children, the unemployed, and retired persons
 - Thus, workplace & homes are important settings for implementing evidencebased strategies to reduce SHS exposure.



Workplace Policy: Benefits & How Established?

- Benefits:
 - protects workers from SHS adverse health effects,
 - < active smoking behaviors (prevalence & intensity), &</p>
 - yields safer & more efficient work environments
- Establishing SF workplace policies:
 - by state or local <u>legislation</u>
 - adopted <u>voluntarily</u> by employers or building owners
 - Iocal jurisdictions (especially states <u>without strong</u> state SF laws) enacted SF environments-mainly in work areas & public places

SF Home Rules: Benefits & How Established?

- Home SHS restrictions are rarely covered by SF legislation; generally established by adult home residents
 - <u>multi-unit</u> housing- imposed by <u>voluntary action</u> -landlords, building owners, or individual tenants
 - several CA localities, <u>limited</u> legislation covering some <u>multi-unit</u> housing
- In 2017, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) required ALL public <u>multi-unit</u> housing be <u>100</u>% SF by mid-2018
 - HUD policy covers ONLY ~ <u>2 million of >300 million US residents</u>

Why SF Home & Workplace Policy SAEs?

- Few publications have provided detailed <u>geospatial variation</u> in indoor SF workplace policies or home rules coverage
- Babb et al. studied variation in SF workplace policies <u>across states</u>, but NOT at <u>smaller geographic</u> levels
- Comprehensive ordinance lists compiled by American
 Nonsmokers' Rights Foundation (ANRF) provide info on presence/ absence of an ordinance, but NOT its implementation / enforcement
 - ANRF % pop. covered by 3 categories of laws: non-hospitality workplaces, restaurants, & free-standing bars



Overview of the Model-based SAE Techniques

- Borrowing strength from relevant sources (Census/ Administrative information, related surveys)
- Borrowed strength comes from covariates, and from other counties with similar characteristics
- Methods of combining Information
 - Choose good small area model
 - Use good statistical methodology
- Mixed models (fixed effects + random effects) at area level or unit level have been popularly used in the small area estimation literature (Rao & Molina 2015).
- Among the many models developed in the SAE literature, the most prominent approach is the *Fay-Herriot* area- level model, originally developed to estimate per-capita income for U.S. areas with populations of less than 1,000.

Fundamental Area-level Model

The well-known Fay-Herriot model (Fay & Herriot 1979):

- Sampling model: $D_i | \theta_i \sim N(\theta_i, \varphi_i)$;
- Linking model: $\theta_i = X'_i\beta + v_i$; where $v_i \sim N(0, A)$;
 - θ_i is the parameter to be estimated;
 - D_i is the direct estimate of θ_i ;
 - φ_i is the sampling variance of D_i
 - X_i is the auxiliary variable matrix
 - *A* is the modeling variance

Statistical Inferences Using Mixed Models

• The final estimates are combinations of the direct estimates with the synthetic estimates.



Fully Bayesian approach or empirical best prediction approach (analytic formulas) can be used for the estimation.

TUS-CPS SAE: Models and Auxiliary Variables

- After comparison of several potential models, the Fay-Herriot class of model with arcsin square root transformation are applied
- The pool of auxiliary variables include:
 - 30+ *county-level* demographic & socio-economic variables obtained from ACS 2011-2015, Census 2000 & 2010, and other administrative records;
 - 5 state level tobacco policy data (cigarette taxes, clean air laws, tobacco control funding, Medicaid Coverage for Tobacco-Related Treatment, year in which Quitline service was established)
- Classical model selection procedures are applied to reduce the number of auxiliary variables for each outcome

Statistical Inference and Model Diagnosis

- Hierarchical Bayesian approach through Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods were used to estimate the parameters of the statistical models.
- Extensive model selection and model diagnosis procedures are used to select the final models and assess the goodness of fit for each model.
- Modeled estimates were compared to the available direct estimates. The ratio of the two is expected to converge to 1 as the sample size gets larger.

Model evaluation



Figure 1: Ratio of the direct over modeled estimates for the proportion of workers covered by smoke-free workplace polices against sample size – TUS-CPS 2014/2015

Final Results: Model-based Estimates for Percent of Population Governed by a Smoke-free Workplace Policy* Among Age 18+: TUS-CPS 2014-15

Individual Self-Reported



*Workplace has an official smoking policy: Smoking Not allowed in ANY public areas and work areas

https://sae.cancer.gov/tus-cps/

Law Legislations



Model-based Estimates for Percent of Population Living in Smoke-free Homes Among Age 18+: TUS-CPS 2014-15



Data accessibility: The SAE Website

Home About Measures Resources Access Search Search	Model-based Estimates at the State and County Levels						
	Home	About	Measures 🝷	Resources 🝷	Search	Search	

Small Area Estimates for Tobacco Use and Policies *

Model-based small area estimates are created by combining information from TUS/CPS and auxiliary variables obtained from relevant sources (Census and American Community Survey) through statistical models.

- Outcomes included smoke-free home rule, smoke-free workplace policy, and smoking cessation
- · Estimates are for counties and health service areas
- Estimates are for three time periods 2006/2007, 2010/2011, and 2014/2015

Explore Further

Methodology Discover more about the proposed statistical models.

Data Sources Get in-depth information about TUS-CPS.

Available Estimates View all the available small area estimates for this topic.

* Modeled estimates for current and ever smoking prevalence are also derived from this project. They are not released in this website to avoid overlap with estimates derived from combining NHIS/BRFSS under the topic of Cancer Risk Factors and Screening Behaviors. For those who are specially interested in the estimates of smoking prevalence derived from TUS/CPS, please contact us.

Return to top



Tobacco Use and Policies Introduction

Methodology

Data Sources

Available Estimates

Limitations and Uses

Citation Format

Residence - No Smoking

Workplace - No Smoking

Publications and Contributors

Smokers Who Quit 24+ Hours

This website is a service of the Surveillance Research Program, in NCI's Division of
Cancer Control and Population Sciences.

Home	Contact
About	Privacy Polic
Measures	Accessibility
Resources	FOIA



Future Considerations for TUS-CPS SAE

- Estimation using the TUS-CPS 2018/19 data is underway.
- In addition to the 5 outcomes considered in the past, we are adding new outcomes including menthol use and e-cigarette use.
- We will evaluate the current approach and consider improved modeling approach if needed.

Discussion- Statistical

- Generated county-level model-based estimates for prevalence of 5 smoking/SF related outcomes for 3,134 US counties/equivalents
- Applying hierarchical Bayesian models, allowed borrowing strength from covariates & other counties with similar profiles.
- Extensive model selection & diagnostics applied to choose the best SAE model for each outcome among several candidate models
- For all the outcomes, the modeled estimates showed consistency with direct estimates in the aggregate, and reduced variance for each county in a general sense.
- Our results demonstrate SAE-positive feature of "borrowing-of-strength" from areas with similar characteristics for small areas with large variation to yield relatively stable estimates.



Discussion- Policy Implications

- 1st study of SF home rules/workplace policies <u>county-level</u> estimates from self-reported <u>national survey</u> data
- Self-reports measure Workplace Policy effect indirectly from:
 - ordinances/laws & those applied voluntarily by employers or building owners
- TUS-CPS ALL types work-places, in <u>hospitality sector</u> (restaurants, bars, casinos), <u>non-hospitality</u> sector, as well as those <u>in government</u>
- ~80% of US workers (18+ yrs in 2014-15) reported SF workplace policy coverage; yet NO state achieved >90% SF workplace coverage
 - suggests even in states with <u>comprehensive</u> state-wide SF laws, some workers remain unprotected
- >85% of US adults reported coverage by SF home rules

Discussion- Policy Implications

- Lowest % for SF workplace policies & often lowest % SF home rules in states with highest % smoking, <u>mainly US south & eastern central parts</u>.
 - consistent with past research

Model-based Estimates for TUS-CPS 2014-15



Model-based Estimates for Percent of Population Living in Smoke-free Homes Among Age 18+: TUS-CPS 2014-15



Discussion- Policy Implications, Continued

- States with <u>minimal within-state variation</u> are largely those with <u>strong state-level tobacco</u> <u>control policies</u>, compensating for counties that do not have their own laws
- <u>Large within-state variation</u> in CA partly explained by CA 's <u>early adoption</u> of comprehensive tobacco control <u>state-wide law</u>, at a time when <u>exemptions</u> were <u>common</u>, in effect "*penalizing*" CA.

Model-based Estimates for TUS-CPS 2014-15



Discussion- Policy Implications, Continued

 In contrast, CA has a high SF home rule % & minimal variation between counties likely as a result of its program ALWAYS emphasizing social norms Model-based Estimates for Percent of Population Living in Smoke-free Homes Among Age 18+: TUS-CPS 2014-15



Broader Implications of Results

- Recent study correlated TUS-CPS SF workplace policy & ANRF constructed scores
 - some <u>consistency</u> between <u>two measures</u> in terms of **observed impact** on smoking cessation & cigarettes smoked per day
- Self-report advantageous -includes perception, as well as knowledge, <u>reflecting enforcement</u> strength
- Detailed SF county & state-level workplace policies & home rules can help identify coverage disparities & differential impact
- Framework useful for modeling *different* <u>tobacco control</u> variables & applied to, e.g., *other* <u>behavioral</u>, <u>policy</u>, or <u>health</u> topics

Selected References

- Liu B, Dompreh I, and Hartman A.M. Small area estimation of smoke-free workplace polices and home rules in U.S. counties. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*, 2021. ntab015, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntab015</u>
- Rao JNK, Molina I. Small Area Estimation. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2015
- Fay R and Herriot R. Estimation of income from small places: An application of James-Stein procedures to Census data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1979; 74: 269-277.

Contact:

- liub2@mail.nih.gov
- hartmana@mail.nih.gov

Thank you!





www.cancer.gov/espanol

www.cancer.gov

RAISE YOUR HAND if you wish to be unmuted and ask any final questions.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION

WE VALUE YOUR FEEDBACK!

Please share your feedback via a brief survey. The survey link will be shared via the Chat Box and email.

FOR MORE INFORMATION & HELPFUL RESOURCES

TUS-CPS Website cancercontrol.cancer.gov/tus-cps

TUS-CPS Email Subscription

cancercontrol.cancer.gov/brp/tcrb/tus-cps#is-newsletter-subscription

TUS-CPS Team Contact

ncidccpsbrpadvances@mail.nih.gov



www.cancer.gov/espanol

www.cancer.gov